

Terry Flynn

1. Do you think it possible to finalize a new Official Plan within the first year of the new Council's term? What in your view should be the key elements of a new Official Plan that differ from the existing Official Plan?

I agree the OP and Sec. Plans should be completed within the first Year. We may need to spend more money, add more staff resources to obtain this. We would have to hope that there would be no objections that would take us to the tribunal. But agree this should be priority. Ag is important to update water sheds need to be correct. And all new secondary plans included. I am a huge proponent for contextual zoning to fit in to existing neighbourhoods. More controls on site plans and zoning to control the number of units and heights of buildings in areas of sensitivity. Sensible growth for our needs and not regional provincial numbers for density

2. What is your ten-year vision for the communities that comprise NOTL (Glendale, Old Town, Queenston, St. David's and Virgil) with respect to residential and commercial development?

New secondary plans need to be initiated with community involvement. Density can be reworked for all areas however the old town and Queenston need a moratorium put in place to rework destiny. Sensible development along with contextual zoning is required and less involvement from the region and the Province in control. Let us decide levels of density.

3. Is the current proposal for Randwood (the most recent revised plan submitted by the Marotta group) appropriate for this site and neighborhood given its significant cultural heritage value and its location in an established residential neighborhood? Why or why not?

NO. I have a concern with the whole process. What we had worked out with the previous owner was done in collaboration with the then owner and it was not as hostile as it is now. I think the players are a big reason why projects can be successful or a colossal failure. This whole project should be scrapped and restarted from scratch.

4. What consideration should be given in your view to the various matters specified in OPA51 (the Official Plan amendment in 2011 that permitted Randwood to be changed from residential to commercial for the Romance Inn- see attached) when deciding whether the Marotta group proposal should be approved?

As mentioned above. Work with the neighbours that are directly impacted by this development. I don't think this is rocket science. We need something. That will allow the public to finally access this property. The gates were closed my whole life and I would like to see its splendor returned and protect the heritage and culture significance of this property. I understand moneys are needed to restore a property and income to keep it restored. Again. Proper stewardship goes along way and developers willing to work with locals and local talents and local trades.

5. What would you do to ensure the preservation and sensitive use of other heritage buildings and lands within each of the communities that comprise NOTL?

Better use of our heritage and urban design committees. Bring control to Niagara on the Lake. Not the province or Region dictating to us

6. How will you ensure appropriate public input into planning decisions affecting high-profile sites such as Randwood (e.g. encouraging staff to accommodate delegations, location of Council and committee meetings on matters of great public interest such as Randwood)?

More public input. Better meeting locations. Confirm audio and visuals are used and better climate controls for these meetings. Times that are suitable to all. Not the councillors and staff

7. Under what circumstances if any would you support the expansion of the current NOTL urban boundaries into agricultural land or the Greenbelt?

NONE- the town established these boundaries long before the province did. Keep them alone. Hands off