

VIA Email
March 23, 2018
Thomas Richardson
Sullivan Mahoney LLP

Your letter of today's date and mine appear to have crossed.

Our client would prefer, on reflection, to have this initial meeting sooner than the date you propose. We reiterate our request to have this meeting on March 29th, 2018.

The primary purpose of the meeting is for your client to explain why the heavily-negotiated Romance Inn proposal is not being advanced by your client. We do not feel you need a team of experts to explain that and we do not intend to bring experts other than some representatives of our client. Our client wishes to hear from the proponent directly on that threshold issue and also on what changes it is prepared to contemplate to its current proposal, given the overwhelmingly negative input received from the various Town advisory committees and the public to date. Our client intends to then take whatever information is proffered by the proponent back to its members and supporters for consideration.

We also reiterate our client's request that this meeting, which we suggest does not need to involve more than 5 or 6 people, be livestreamed. Our client has nothing to hide and a strong interest in transparency as does, we assume, the Town itself. We assume your client similarly has nothing to hide and is interested in transparency. The meeting will not be disrupted by livestreaming any more than a Council meeting is, which is to say, not at all.

We remind you that this meeting (with our client alone) was specifically requested by your client in his Council appearance on Monday night. Please refer to the livestream of the delegation (minute 21:40). Our client has accepted that request.

With respect to the subdivision, three of your client's reports specifically discuss it. It is rather fanciful to suggest it is not on the table and we do not intend to let your client "piecemeal" its development of the Randwood Estate in this fashion. While we acknowledge the Applications currently pertain only to the hotel complex, we expect to see a revised proposal reflecting only land within the urban boundary and out of the Greenbelt as a starting point for any discussion on that aspect of the overall plan for the Randwood Estate property.

We would be happy to discuss and resolve this matter as soon as possible.

H. A. Patrick Little
H.A. Patrick Little, LLB
Heelis, Little, Almas & Murray, LLP
W#: (905) 687.8200 ext 309
FX#: (905) 684-4844
Email: plittle@hlamlaw.com